

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK 2019-2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I INTRODUCTION	3
PART II – GCRPC RRC APPROVED ACTIONS	4
PART III - GCRPC RRC SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA	5
PART IV - GCRPC RRC OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA	6

PART I INTRODUCTION

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK 2019-2020 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The Golden Crescent Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2019-2020 Regional Review Committee Charter with Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the GCRPC RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the GCRPC RRC Guidebook has been published on the website of the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) to:

Suzanne Barnard, State Director
Community Development Block Grant Program
Texas Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 12847
Austin, Texas 78711

e-mail address: <u>Suzanne.Barnard@TexasAgriculture.gov</u> TDA website: http://www.texasagriculture.gov/

PART II – GCRPC RRC APPROVED ACTIONS

- 1. The GCRPC RRC held its RRC meeting on July 10, 2018, to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.
- 2. The RRC selected TDA staff as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected TDA staff as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.
- 3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:

Single jurisdiction: \$ 275,000Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000

4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border colonia projects.

PART III - GCRPC RRC SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

A. PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY - 90 POINTS TOTAL

1. Is the project categorized as a first priority or second priority? (Maximum 90 Points)

B. NEED/DISTRESS - 30 POINTS TOTAL

- 2. Household Contribution: What is the applicant's combined annual water, utility and tax rates as compared to the applicant's median household income? (Maximum 10 Points)
- 3. What is the per capita income of the applicant's jurisdiction? (Maximum 10 Points)
- **4**. Has the applicant been funded in the previous two (2) Community Development Fund (CD) application cycles? (**Maximum 10 Points**)

C. LOCAL EFFORT - 50 POINTS TOTAL

5. What is the applicant's match amount? (**Maximum 50 Points**)

D. MERITS OF THE PROJECT – 10 POINTS TOTAL

6. Is this project categorized 100 % as under TCEQ Notice of Enforcement, a first-time public water or sewer including yard lines, any other water/sewer project, or all other? (**Maximum 10 Points**)

Scoring Factor	Maximum Points
Project Type/Priority	90
Household Contribution	10
Per Capita Income	10
Previous Funding	10
Match	50
Merits of the Project	10
TOTAL	180

PART IV - GCRPC RRC OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA

1. Is the project categorized as a first priority or second priority? (Maximum 90 Points)

A. PROJECT TYPE/PRIORITY - 90 POINTS TOTAL

SCORE:	
Methodology: Table 1 will be reviewed to determine the a will be assigned. 75% of all construction dollars must be number (1) or the project will be dropped to the next categoretic systems for the purposes of connecting to a public second-site septic system projects will be a second priority.	be spent on (1) or all activities in priority fory priority for points. Mitigation of on-site
Project Types:	
1. First Priority – Water, Sewer, and yard lines	90 Points
2. Second Priority – All other eligible projects	65 Points
Data Source: As stated below	
-RRC Project Priorities: RRC Guidebook -Project Type: CD Application Table 1 Verified By TDA	
Information Needed From Applicant to Score:	
List of Projects Submitted by Type As Stated in Table "failing" in Table 2 (list as many as applicable):	1, Table 2 (for septic tanks, must specify
1	
2	
3	
1	

B. NEED/DISTRESS - 30 POINTS TOTAL

2.	What	is th	ie appli	cant's	combined	annual	water,	utility	and	tax	rates	as	compared	to	the
ap	plicant	t's mo	edian h	ouseho	ld income	(househo	old cont	ributio	n)? (Max	imum	10	Points)		
SC	CORE:														

Methodology: The applicant's Household Contribution may be determined by reviewing the applicant's official public records, Certified Tax Roll (or Summary statement of total tax roll values) and 2010 Census data for the applicant's jurisdiction. Once this information is obtained, the household contribution is calculated by totaling the annual residential (within city limits) water and sewer rates based on 5,000 gallons of water and the annual tax paid before exemptions on the average house value for the applicant's jurisdiction then dividing the total by the applicant's median household income. Once this has been determined, the average household contribution is calculated by dividing the sum of all household contributions by the number of applicants in the region.

Next, a base is set to provide a constant for the equation. The base is calculated by multiplying the average household contribution by a set number such as 1.25 to represent 125%. The annual household contribution for each applicant is then divided by the base. This number is referred to as the annual household contribution (AHC) factor.

Finally to determine the score for each applicant the household contribution factor is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

For example, a region has five applicants. The average annual household contribution of the five applicants is \$1017.80. A constant of 1.25 is multiplied by the annual average household contribution to determine the base (1272.25). The annual household contribution of each applicant is then divided by the base to determine their annual household contribution factor.

Finally, scores for each applicant are determined by multiplying the annual household contribution factor by the maximum available points for this scoring criterion.

Cities will be compared to cities. Counties will be compared to counties except in the case where only one county in the region applies for funding. In such a case that county, will be allotted the average number of points received by cities.

EXAMPLE:

Applicant	Applicant's Annual Water Rate based on 5,000 gal	Applicant's Annual Sewer Rate based on 5,000 gal	Applicant's Tax Rate (before exemptions)	Applicant's Average Home Value	Applicant's Tax Paid on Average Home	Annual Household Contribution	AHC Factor	Score
A	300	300	\$.50/\$100	\$75,000	\$375.00	\$975.00	0.766	7.66
В	250	275	\$1.00/\$100	\$80,000	\$800.00	\$1,325.00	1.041	10.00
С	225	225	\$.55/\$100	\$85,000	\$467.50	\$917.50	0.721	7.21
D	275	250	\$.75/\$100	\$65,000	\$487.50	\$1,012.50	0.796	7.96
Е	220	275	\$.52/100	\$70,000	\$364.00	\$859.00	0.675	6.75

Data Source: As Stated Below

<u>Utility Rates as of July 1, 2018</u>: Official public record of action of the appropriate governing body (examples: ordinance or resolution) or Service Provider's water and/or sewer rate: Certifying Official (such as Chief Financial Officer or the Chief Executive Officer) supplies certification of the water and/or sewer rate as of 7/1/2018 that the service provider bills on a regular interval (i.e. monthly, quarterly, semi-annually or any other regular interval).

Roll as of July 2018: Certified tax roll or summary statement of total tax roll values from the applicant's Chief Appraiser as of July 2018.

2018 Tax Rate: City ordinance or Minutes from governmental body.

<u>Average Household Value as of July 2018</u>: Document or statement from the applicant's Chief Appraiser as of July 2018 indicating average household value for applicant.

Median Household Income: 2016 American Community Survey 5-year Estimate – Table B19013

Information Needed From Applicant to Score: Wester Boto (based on 5,000 cal.) as of July 1, 2018.

Water Rate (based on 5,000 gal.) as of July 1, 2018:	
Sewer Rate (based on 5,000 gal. of water usage) as of July 1, 2018:	
Tax Roll Summary Statement of Values as of July 2018:	
2018 Tax Rate (before exemptions):	
Average Home Value as of July 2018:	
Median Household Income:	

3. What is the per capita income of the applicant's jurisdiction? (Maximum 10 Points) SCORE:
Methodology: Per capita income may be determined by reviewing the most recent American Community Survey data for the applicant's jurisdiction. Once this information is obtained for each applicant, the average annual per capita income is calculated by dividing the sum of all annual per capita incomes by the total number of applicants.

Next, a base is set to provide a constant for the equation. The base is calculated by multiplying the average per capita income by a set number such as .75 to represent 75%. The base is then divided by the annual per capita income for each applicant. This number is referred to as the annual per capita income factor.

Finally to determine the score for each applicant the annual per capita income factor is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

For example, a region has five applicants. The average annual per capita income of the five applicants is \$34,200. A constant of .75 is multiplied by the annual average per capita income to determine the base (25,650). The base is then divided by the annual per capita income of each applicant to determine their per capita income factor. Finally, scores for each applicant are determined by multiplying the per capita income factor by the maximum available points for this scoring criterion.

Projects that include multiple jurisdictions, the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.

EXAMPLE:

Applicant	Per Capita Income (PCI)	PCI Factor	Score
A	\$36,000	.7125	7.125
В	\$32,000	.8016	8.016
С	\$33,500	.7657	7.657
D	\$34,000	.7544	7.544
Е	\$35,500	.7225	7.225

Average: 171,000 / 5 = 34,200 Base= .75 * 34,200 = 25,650

Data Source: As stated below

<u>Per Capita Income for Applicant's Jurisdiction</u>: 2016 American Community Survey 5-year Estimate – Table B19301

Information 1	Needed	From A	pplicant	to Score:
---------------	--------	--------	----------	-----------

Per Capita Income for Applicant's Jurisdiction:	
Ter capita income for rippireant stansaretion.	

^{**} Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum**

4. Has the applicant been funded in the previous two (2) Community Development Fund (CD) application cycles? (Maximum 10 Points) SCORE:							
Methodology: Data source documentation will be reviewed and points will be assigned. Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant who would score the highest on this criteria will be considered the applicant of record.							
a. The applicant received full or partial funding in the 2015-2016 CD and 2017-2018 CD cycles (0 pts) b. The applicant received full or partial funding in the 2015-2016 CD cycle OR the 2017-2018 CD cycle (5 pts) c. The applicant did NOT receive funding in the 2015-2016 CD or 2017-2018 cycle (10 pts)							
Data Source: TDA Tracking System Report							
Information Needed From Applicant to Score: Funded in Previous CD application cycles:							
Funded in 2015-2016 CD application cycle? YESNOContract No.:							
Funded in 2017-2018 CD application cycle? YESNOContract No.:							

C. LOCAL EFFORT - 50 POINTS TOTAL

5. What is the applicant's match amount? (Maximum 50 Points) SCORE:_____

A score will be generated based on the local match being injected into the proposed project. Within the application, applicants are required to clearly identify the amount of local match. The local match being proposed for the project will be scored based on the size of the applicant's population and the percentage of local match being obligated for the proposed project. The Golden Crescent RRC has decided the higher the percentage the higher the score:

Methodology: The project category is based on 2010 Census population. If the project is for beneficiaries for the entire county, the total population of the county is used. If the project is for activities in the unincorporated area of the county with a target area of beneficiaries, the population category is based on the unincorporated residents for the entire county. For county applications addressing water and sewer improvements in unincorporated areas, the population category is based on the actual number of beneficiaries to be served by the project activities. If the project serves beneficiaries for applications submitted by cities, the total city population is used.

Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record for population and match purposes

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 1,500 according to 2010 Census:

Match equal to or greater than 5% of grant request	(50 pts)
Match at least 4% but less than 5% of grant request	(33 pts)
Match at least 3% but less than 4% of grant request	(17 pts)
Match at least 2% but less than 3% of grant request	(8 pts)
Match less than 2% of grant request	(0 pts)

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 3,000 but over 1,500 according to 2010 Census:

Match equal to or greater than 10% of grant request	(50 pts)
Match at least 7.5% but less than 10% of grant request	(33 pts)
Match at least 5% but less than 7.5% of grant request	(17 pts)
Match at least 2.5% but less than 5% of grant request	(8 pts)
Match less than 2.5% of grant request	(0 pts)

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 5,000 but over 3,000 according to 2010 Census:

11 \/	1 1	1	,	,
Match equal	to or grea	ater than 15%	of grant request	(50 pts)
Match at leas	t 11.5%	but less than	15% of grant request	(33 pts)
Match at leas	t 7.5% b	ut less than 1	1.5% of grant request	(17 pts)
Match at leas	t 3.5% b	ut less than 7	.5% of grant request	(8 pts)
Match less th	an 3.5%	of grant requ	est	(0 pts)

Applicant(s) population over 5,000 according to 2010 Census:

Match equal to or greater than 20% of grant request	(50 pts)
Match at least 15% but less than 20% of grant request	(33 pts)
Match at least 10% but less than 15% of grant request	(17 pts)
Match at least 5% but less than 10% of grant request	(8 pts)
Match less than 5% of grant request	(0 pts)

Applicant Match: SF 424 and Applicant Resolution or 3rd Party Commitment letter			
Population: 2010 Census Data Summary File 1 Table P1			
County Unincorporated Water/Sewer Beneficiaries: CD Application Table 1 Verified By TDA			
Information Needed From Applicant to Score:			
Applicant Population:			
Applicant TxCDBG Amount:			
Applicant Match From All Sources:			
County Unincorporated Water/Sewer Beneficiaries:			

Data Source: As stated below

D. MERITS OF THE PROJECT – 10 POINTS TOTAL

6. Is this project categorized 100 % as addressing a TC water or sewer including yard lines, any other water/s Points)	
SCORE:	
CATEGORY:	
 Project addresses TCEQ Notice of Violation First-time Public water or sewer or yard lines Any other water/sewer projects All Other 	10 Points 10 Points 5 Points 0 Points
Methodology: To receive assigned points for a category, address the category for which the points are assigned. projects where more than one category is addressed, the papplicable category. For example, an application that reque to Neighborhood A and water line replace in Neighborhood	There will be no pro-ration. Multi-activity project will be assigned points in the lowest ests funds to provide first-time sewer service
To receive points assigned for a Texas Commission on Violation, the applicant must have a Notice of Violat application deadline. If applicant is currently under Notice must also be submitted as evidence of inspection violations.	ion with respect to the project as of the ce of Enforcement, the Notice of Violation
Projects that address installation of on-site septic tanks with address remediation or mitigation of on-septic system is public system will be considered First-time public sewer service project.	ystems for the purpose of connecting to a
Data Source: As stated below	
<u>Project type</u> : CD Application Table 1 verified by TDA a "failing" in Table 2).	nd Table 2 (for septic tanks – must specify
Copy of the TCEQ Notice of Violation, must be received by	by the application deadline.
Information needed from Applicant to score:	
List of project(s) submitted for TxCDBG funding:	
TCEQ Notice of Violation:	Yes No
First-time Public Water or Sewer or Yard lines:	Yes No